



ISSN- 0975-7058

Vol 15, Special Issue 2, 2023

Original Article

INTERACTION EFFECT OF APIS TRIGONA HONEY, ETHANOLIC EXTRACTS KEMUNING (MURRAYA PANICULATE), YAKON (SMALLANTHUS SONCHIFOLIUS) AND THEIR COMBINATION AGAINST STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS INFECTIONS

SRI AGUNG FITRI KUSUMA¹⁺ [D. IRMA ERIKA HERAWATI² [D. DANNI RAMDHANI³ [D. ALYA RISNANDA⁴

¹Department of Biology Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Padjadjaran, Sumedang-45363, West Java, Indonesia. ²Department of Pharmacy, Indonesian School of Pharmacy, Bandung-40266, West Java, Indonesia. ³Department of Pharmacy Heinistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Padjadjaran, Sumedang-45363, West Java, Indonesia. ⁴Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Al-Ghifari University, Bandung-40293, West Java, Indonesia

*Email: s.a.f.kusuma@unpad.a.c.id

Received: 29 Aug 2023, Revised and Accepted: 26 Sep 2023

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was investigated the antibacterial interaction of Murraya paniculate extract, Smallanthus sonchifolius extract, Apis trigona honey and their combinations for their interaction effect against Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213.

Methods: All extracts and honey were evaluated for antibacterial interaction effects both alone and in combination. The disk diffusion method was employed with clindamycin phosphate as the standard antibiotic. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the most potent extract was determined using microdilution assays and performed in line with CLIS quidelines.

Results: Among all, S. sonchifolius extract provided the most effective inhibitory activity in higher inhibition than clindamycin phosphate with the range MIC value of 12.5-25% w/v. However, significant different interactions (synergistic, additive and antagonistic) were observed between honey and plant crude extracts. The S. sonchifolius extract city and plant crude extract but antagonistic with A. trigona honey. The antagonistic interaction also produced when M. paniculate extract combined with A. trigona honey. Consequently, their total combination of all tested sample produced an additive interaction.

Conclusion: Thus, we concluded that their combination was ineffective to be used as the antibacterial cocktails against S. aureus infections.

Keywords: Murraya paniculate, Smallanthus sonchifolius, Apis trigona, Interaction, Additive, Antagonistic, Staphylococcus aureus

© 2023 The Authors. Published by Innovare Academic Sciences Pvt Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)
DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ijap.2023.v15s2.17 Journal homepage: https://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ijap

INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus colonizes enormous parts of human populations as well as to being one of the most important human infections. Several reports claimed that nasal cavities are the predominant colonization site [1, 2], and that 20% of the population is a permanent carrier, whereas 60% is an intermittent carrier. Although the human throat has received less attention as a carriage site, several studies have concluded that it is the most prevalent [3, 4]. The risk and result of nosocomial staphylococcal bacteraemia caused by S. aureus nasal carriers and noncarriers varies dramatically, and bacteraemia is three times more common in carriers than in noncarriers [5]. As a result, potential antibiotics are critical in avoiding infections.

Antibiotic resistance in bacteria is a critical worldwide issue. Over the last few decades, extensive over-prescription and self-medication of therapeutically accessible antibiotics has resulted in the long-term exposure of pathogenic microbes to these antibiotics [6]. Currently, more than 70% of harmful microorganisms have gained antibiotic resistance [7]. As a result, newer antimicrobials and/or ways to combating the issue are urgently required. Natural materials and traditional treatments may hold the key to discovering novel antibacterial agents. Pharmaceutical businesses have developed new antibiotics during the previous three decades [8]. Thus, it is critical to discover novel antimicrobial medicines or methods for treating infectious disorders caused by drug-resistant bacteria [9].

Few antibiotics are authorized by regulators, reflecting both the difficulties of producing such drugs and the fact that antibiotic discovery initiatives at numerous large pharm such calculations have been abandomed in the last decade [10]. As a result, the output of drug pipelines is simply not well positioned to control resistant infections, despite efforts by academic institutions and smaller enterprises to fill the gap. Combination treatment is an emerging method for combating such diseases. Combining two antibiotics is

emerging as a viable treatment strategy [11]. Broad-spectrum coverage for the initial therapy of severely infected patients, polymicrobial infections, and prevention of selection of resistue, of the initial infection of selection of resistue. Increorganisms when the causal organism has a high mutation rate to the antibiotic indicated, reduction. In other control of the initial of the initial organisms of the antibiotic indicated, reduction. In other cases that justify the use of antimicrobial combinations [12]. Few studies have indicated that combining plant extracts can boost their efficiency against certain bacterial infections [13-15]. With this in mind, our research team investigated and confirmed that kemuning leaves (Murraya paniculata L Jack), yacon leaves (Smallanthus sonchifolius) and Apis trigona bee honey contain several phytochemical compounds which exhibit bactericidal effect against diverse Gramp-positive bacteria [16-18]. In this study, we further investigated the antibacterial efficacy of those plants extract and honey in combination against. Saureus to answer whether any different antibacterial effects occur between the single extract and their combination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Extraction of kemuning and yacon

Plant parts were locally collected from Manoko Garden, West Java, Indonesia and Apis trigona was obtained from Ciburial Honey Becultivation. All samples were authenticated by the experts from Biology Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Padjadjaran University, Indonesia. As the results, our samples were confirmed as kemuning (Murraya paniculata L. Jack) and yacon (Snallanthus sonchipibus Poepp. H. Rob) (No. 531/HB/02/2017) and Apis trigona (557/HB/02/2018). Bach powdered of the leave (500 g) was macerated in 1 L of 70% alcohol for 3 d. This filtrate was extracted with a rotatory evaporator after filtering through a Whatman number 1 filter paper and maintained at 55 °C until fully dry. Those concentrated extracts and honey were kept in a sterile screw-capped vial at 20 °C and dissolved in dimethylsuil/Oxide (DMSO; Merck, Germany) before use.

Preliminary phytochemical screening

Remuning, yacon leaves extracts, and A. trigona honey were subjected to preliminary qualitative phytochemical screening for the identification of alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, steroids, and tannins usin [3] eviously reported methods [19, 20]. To test the alkaloids, 2 ml of each extract was miss with 2 ml of 10% aqueous hydrochloride acid. 1 ml of the filtrate was treated with a few drops of Mayer's reagent. The presence of alkaloids in the extract and honey were treated with a few drops of the reagent. The often extract and honey were retreated with a few drops of the reagent. The presence of alkaloids in the extract and honey were also confirmed by a reddish-brown precipitate. To test the flavonoids, 3 ml aliquot of the filtrate was made alkaline with sodium hydroxide (NaOH). A yellow color developed which indicated the possible presence of flavonoids compounds. To detect the saponins, 2 g of the powdered extract and honey were placed into a test tube, 5 ml of water was added and it was shken strongly. The whole tube was added and it was filted, which last for some minute. The presence of bubbles indicated presence of saponin. To detect the steroids, 5g of sample powder were dissolved in 5 ml of chloroform. After then, it was filtered. To make the beginn layer, 2 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid were carefully added. The existence of a steroidal ring is indicated by a reddish-brown tint at the interface. To detect the tannins, 5% ferric chloride solution was added to 2-3 ml of extract and honey, drop by drop. The presence of tannins is indicated by a dark green precipitate.

Determination of antistaphylococcal activities

Overnight suspensions of Staphylococcus aureus were prepared following inoculation of Muller Hinton broth (MHB; Oxoid) with three to five well-isolated colonies from Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA, Oxoid). The suspension was adjusted to a 0.5 McFarland standard

(1.5×108 CFU/ml). A stock solution of the herbal recipe (100 mg/ml (1.5×10° CFU/mi). A stock solution of the nerbal recipe (100 mg/m in 10% DMSO) was diluted in DMSO to produce several working solutions of 250, 125, 625, and 31.25 mg/ml. A 250 mg/ml clindamycin phosphate was used as the positive control. The antistaphylococcal potential of each sample was determined using the agar well diffusion technique. The standardized inocula (20 µl) were put into individual plates with MHA growth medium. A sterile copper borer with a 6 mm diameter was used to form wells in the solution of the product of the product of the standardized medium to the plate. Each well was a labelled copper borer with a 6 mm diameter was used to form wells in the solidified growth media in the plates. Each well was labelled appropriately and individually filled with 100 μ l of testing materials. 16 pre starting the bacterial growth, the inoculation petri plates were kep 27 from temperature for an hour to allow for treatment diffusion. The plates were then incubated at 37 °C for 24 h before measuring the zones of inhibition (201) surrounding the wells. The results were then statistically analysis using ANOVA to determine the antistaphylococcal effect of those tested sample.

Synergistic antibacterial assay

The synergistic antibacterial interaction of kemuning extract, yakon extract, A. trigona honey, and their combinations for their interaction effect against S. aureus ATCC 29213 were investigated in interaction effect against *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 were investigated in this study using the agar well diffusion assay. The tested media was prepared with the same procedure as previous antibacterial assay. The two extracts were combined by adding 50 µl of each extract (500 mg/ml) to the same well. Kemuning and yakon leaf extract (KY), yakon leaf extract and *A. trigona* (YA) bee honey, and kemuning leaf extract and *A. trigona* (YA) bee honey (KA) were the pattern combinations investigated, presented in table 1. Each test extract concentration (KYA) in the combination of three extracts was 75 mg/ml (0.75g extract in 1 ml DMSO solvent) with each volume of 33.3 µl in the same well. All test medium were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. A calliper is used to measure the inhibition zones created around the test hole.

Table 1: Extracts and honey combination

Extracts and honey (500 mg/ml)	Combination	Combination				
	Kemuning	Yakon	Honey			
Kemuning	-	1:1	1:1			
Yakon	1:1	-	1:1			
Honey	1:1	1:1	-			

Minimum inhibitory concentration determination

To determine the MICs of the most potential sample, broth microdilution assays were performed in line with CLSI guidelines [21]. Each well contained 100 µl of tested antistaphylococcal agent [250 mg/H_s] luted to .4875 mg/M] and 100 µl of the bacterial suspension and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. The MIC was then determined as the lowest concentration, showing no growth using optical density (00) at 595 nm (0D595 nm) on a microplate reader. The MIC result then subcultured by dropping 10 µl of the subculture result on to the surface of agar media. The assay was repeated in triplicate.

RESULTS

Extraction yield and phytochemical contents

The ethanol extract yield, measured as the weight of the extract divided by the weight of the crude herb powder, was 24.9 and 2.3% (w/w) for kemuning and yacon, respectively. The results of the phytochemical analysis provided evidence of the presence of analysis provided evidence of the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, tannins and steroids in the leaf extracts of kemuning and *A. trigona* honey, except that steroids was not found in yakon extract, presented in table 2.

Table 2: Phytochemical contents

Samples	Phytochemical contents						
Jumpies	Alkaloids	Flavonoids	Saponins	Tannins	Steroids		
Kemuning	+	+	+	+	+		
Yacon	-	+	+	+	+		
Honey	+	+	+	+	+		

Notes: (+) presence; (-) absence

Table 3: Antistaphylococcal activity

Sample	Diameter of Inh	I)		
	250	125	62.5	31.25
Kemuning	19.00±0.25	17.10±0.55	13.88±0.48	7.73±1.08
Yakon	20.05±1.00	18.43±0.72	17.64±1.39	13.8±2.80
Honey	11.25±0.50	10.58±0.08	9.38±0.13	7.88±0.38
Clindamycin phosphate (250 mg/ml)	20.88+0.13			

Note: perforator diameter= 6 mm, data showed as mean and deviation standard, n=3

Antistaphylococcal activity

The antistaphylococcal activities of both leaf extracts and the Apis trigona honey are demonstrated in table 3. As the results, all tested samples exerted potent inhibition against S. aureus. Of the plant extracts, Yacon extract showed the most active antibacterial while Apis trigona honey had the lowest mean total inhibition.

So aureus gave different sensitivity responses to the extracts and honey at the same concentration. To observe the extent of the difference in the inhibitory potential of each sample, a statistical analysis was carried out as shown in table 4. Effect of the extract on the difference in the inhibition diameter was statistically analysed using the ANOVA test. The results of the ANOVA test above obtained the value of Sig. Sig. (0.000)=0.05 means that there is a difference in the inhibitory potential of the all samples at a concentration of 31.25, 62.5, 125, and 250 mg/ml.

Synergistic antibacterial assay

Synergistic animateeria assay Significant different interactions (synergistic, additive and antagonistic) were observed between honey and plant crude extracts, shown in table 5. The effect of interaction was determined by observing the diameter of inhibition both single a 15 ombination. Interactions are additive when their combined effect equals the total of their 5 lividual effects, synergistic when the combined impact exceeds the sum of their individual effects, and antagonistic when the combined effect is less than the sum of their individual effects [22]. The S. sonchipolus displayed additive interaction with M. paniculate extract but antagonistic with A trigona honey. The antagonistic interaction also produced when M. paniculate extract combination of all tested sample produced an additive interaction.

Table 4: Statistical analysis result

	12					
	Sum of squares	Df	Mean square	F	Sig.	
Between Groups	678.425	14	48.459	13.629	0.001	
Within Groups	53.333	15	3.556			
Total	731 757	29				

Table 5: Synergistic antibacterial results

Tested sample (500 μg/ml)	Diameter of inhibition (mm)
Yacon and kemuning	19.20±0.05
Yacon and A. trigona honey	16.15±0.50
Kemuning and A. trigona honey	16.13±1.03
Yacon, kemuning and A. trigona honey	18.72±0.51

Data showed as mean and deviation standard, n=3

Table 6: MIC and MBC values of Yacon leaf extract

Extract concentration (mg/ml)	Bacterial growth		
	MIC	MBC	
0.48	+	+	
0.97	+	+	
1.95	+	+	
3.96	+	+	
7.81	+	+	
15.6	+	+	
31.2	+	+	
62.5	+	+	
125			
250			
Control (+)	+	+	
Control (-)	-	-	

MIC and MBC values

MIC and MBC of yacon leaf extracts in a single extract was determined. The MIC values for the extracts ranged between 0.4875 mg/ml and 250 mg/ml, presented in table 6. Yacon leaf extract with a MIC of 125 mg/ml and MBC of 250 mg/ml was the most potential plant extract against *S. aureus*.

DISCUSSION

Antimicrobial resistance is now regarded as a major barrier to combating infectious diseases, so the goal of this study is to shed light on some antimicrobial interactions of some plant extracts against S. aureus in order to provide practitioners and infectious disease specialists with ideas for laying strategic control of Staphylococca infections by using those antimicrobials. The antibacterial interaction of M. paniculate extract, S. sonchifolius extract, A. trigona Honey, and their combinations for their interaction effect against S. aureus ATCC 29213 was investigated in this study.

 $\it M.\ paniculata$ leaves exhibit antibacterial capabilities against human infections due to their high phenolic and flavonoid content, which

supports antibacterial activity [23]. S. sonchifolius has been implicated in antibacterial activities [24]. S. sonchifolius tubers contain fructooligosaccharide and phenolic compounds [24, 25], whereas the leaves include numerous kaureme diterpenoids, acetophenone-type phytoalexins, and melampolide-type sequiterpene lactones [26]. According to the findings, the antibacterial activity of S. sonchifolius may be safely attributed to enhydrin because polymatin B and allo-schkuhriolide had no action against S. aureus strains. Meanwhile, the Trigona sp. honey demonstrated inhibitory activity against E. coli and S. aureus at least in the concentration of 12.5%. These results showed that S. aureus was more liable than Salmonella typhi and E. coli [27]. Those studies strengthened the reason to investigate the efficacy the combination of those strong antibacterial agent.

During the last few decades, numerous biologically and medicinally important phytochemicals, which are also found in both leaf extracts and Apis trigona honey, including alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, steroids, saponins, have been reported in increasing its medicinal importance [28]. The phytochemicals play vital roles in plant defence mechanism against different microbial infections [29].

Alkaloids might be the main antimicrobial components as their antimicrobial activity has been reported earlier [30, 31]. Flavonoids are phytochemical substances that have been demonstrated to have a broad antibacterial range via several mechanisms [32-35]. Several studies had perfect various antibacterial mechanisms of flavonoid, including the inhibition of nucleic acid synthesis, the interference of cytoplasmic membrane function and energy metabolism, the reduction of bacterial adhesion to form biofilm, the interruption of porin, and the reduction of membrane prepability [36-01]. Many plants, which of bacterial adhesion to form biofilm, the interruption of porin, and the reduction of membrane permeability [36-40]. Many plants which biosynthesize saponins was also found to inhibit the growth of S. aweus isolate by disturbing the permeability of bacterial membrane cells [41-44]. The integrated of other phytochemical substance in all leaf extracts had strengthened their antibacterial potency. Alkaloids also have an antibacterial mechanism that is almost the same as other phytochomical compounds frough in all extracts of this claim such as when the same as the phytochomical compounds frough in all extracts of this claim such as when the same as the phytochomical compounds frough in all extracts of this claim. phytochemical compounds found in all extracts of this plant, such as inhibition of bacterial cell wall synthesis, bacterial metabolism, nucleic acid and protein synthesis, also disturbing the permeability of bacterial cell membrane [45, 46].

In this study, antimicrobial activity for yakon leaf extract was detected as the most active antibacterial af all tested samples an 4 Apis trigona honey had the lowest mean total inhibition. For almost a century, the concept of synergistic interactions between medications and substances has been a major concern in the biomedical world. The synergistic determined when the combined impact exceeds the sum of their individual effects [22]. Understanding the interactions between medications is becoming increasingly important as complicated illnesses are treated with numerous therapeutic combinations. The concept of 1+1 = 2 is not intriguing, and it is widely accepted and understood across many academic areas and even civilizations throughout the world. As a result, saying 1+0 = 2. widely accepted and understood across many academic areas and even civilizations throughout the world. As a result, saying 1+0=2, 0+0=1, or even 1+1=0 is extremely paradoxical. That paradigm, however, is a very simple model for comprehending synergist interactions, or synergy, Synergy is typically described as the combined impact of two or more agents that is larger than the predicted additive effect of said agents. Returning to the 1+0=2 example [47,48], it may be stated that a synergistic interaction is taking place. Unfortunately, quantifying such interaction has the ability to maximize therapeutic impact while decreasing detrimental effects or side effects when using a certain pharmacological regimen [49,50]. If two medications work synergistically, smaller dosages of each treatment might be utilized, resulting in fewer side effects while still achieving the intended aim. Our study found that significant different interactions (synergistic additive and antagonistic) were observed between honey and plant crude extracts. The S-sonthifolius displayed additive interaction with M-paniculate extract but antagonistic with A-trigonal honey. The extracts. The S. sonchifolius displayed additive interaction with M. paniculate extract but antagonistic with A. trigona Honey. The antagonistic interaction also produced when M. paniculate extract combined with A. trigona honey. Antagonism is the contrary of synergy, it develops when the combined impact of two or more substances is less than predicted. Consequently, their total combination of all tested sample produced an additive interaction. In most cases, the baseline impact for synergy detection methods is an additive effect. When there is no synergy, it is the impact that is theoretically predicted from the combination of many medications. [51]. Thus, we concluded that their combination was ineffective to be used as the antibacterial cocktalis against *S. aureus* infections. Yakon leaf extract solely enough to inhibit *S. aureus* with the vange minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) value of 125-250 mg/ml.

CONCLUSION

From the results of this study, we conclude that the antistaphylococcal activities of S sanchipfilus, M, paniculate and Apis trigona honey were provide interaction in combination. However, the interaction did not produce synergistic effect agains S. aureus. The findings of this study point to the need of deliberately selecting extracts to maximize to point to the need of deliberately selecting extracts to maximize prisms while minimizing antagonisms in antistaphylococcal activity. FUNDING

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

All the authors contributed equally.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

Declared none

REFERENCES

- Kluytmans J, Van Belkum A, Verbrugh H. Nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus: epidemiology, underlying mechanisms, and associated risks. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1997;10(3):505-20. doi: 10.1128/CMR.10.3.505, PMID 9227864.
- Von Eiff C, Becker K, Machka K, Stammer H, Peters G. Nasal carriage as a source of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia. Study
- carriage as a source of Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. Study Group. N. Engl. J. Med. 2001;344(1):11-6. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200101043440102, PMID 11136954. Hamdan Partida. A. Sainz Espunes T. Bustos Martinez J. Characterization and persistence of Staphylococcus aureus Strains isolated from the anterior nares and throats of healthy carriers in a mexican community. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48(5):1701-5. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01929-09, PMID 20335416.
- 10.1128/JCM.01929-09, PMID 20335416.
 Nilsson P, Ripa T. Staphylooccus aureus throat colonization is more frequent than colonization in the anterior nares. J Clin Microbiol. 2006;44(9):3334-9. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00880-06, PMID 16954269.
 Wertheim HF, Vos MC, Ott A, van Belkum A, Voss A, Kluytmans
- JA. Risk and outcome of nosocomial staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia in nasal carriers versus non-carriers. Lancet. 2004;364(9435):703-5. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16897-
- 2004;354(9453);703-5. doi: 10.1016/S0140-0736(04)16097-9, PMID 15325835. Harbottle H, Thakur S, Zhao S, White DG. Genetics antimicrobial resistance. Anim Biotechnol. 2006;17(2):111-24. doi: 10.1080/10495390600957092, PMID 17127523.
- Harvey Al, Edrada-Ebel R, Quinn RJ. The re-emergence of natural products for drug discovery in the genomics era. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2015;14(2):111-29. doi: 10.1038/nrd4510, PMID 25614221.
- Whitea RI, Kays MB, Friedrich LV, Del-Bene VED. Impact of different statistical methodologies on the evaluation of the *in vitro* MICs for bacteroides fragilis of selected cephalosporins and cephamycins. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1993;31(1):57-64. doi: 10.1093/jac/31.1.57.
- doi: 10.1093/jac/31.1.57.
 Taylor PW, Stapleton PD, Paul Luzio J. New ways to treat bacterial infections. Drug Discov Today. 2002;7(21):1086-91. doi: 10.1016/j.1359-6446(0)2024994. PMID 12546840.
 Zapun A, Contreras Martel CC, Vernet T. Penicillin-binding proteins and beta-lactam resistance. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2008;32(2):361-85. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00095x. PMID 18248419.
- Cottarel G, Wierzbowski J. Combination drugs, an emerging option for antibacterial therapy. Trends Biotechnol. 2007;25[12]:547-55. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2007.09.004, PMID
- 1797/17/3. Acar JF. Antibiotic synergy and antagonism. Med Clin North Am. 2000;84(6):1391-406. doi: 10.1016/s0025-7125(05)70294-7, PMID 11155849.
- PMID 1115S849.

 Ansari M, Larijani K, Saber Tehrani M. Antibacterial activity of
 Lippa citriodora Herb Essence against MRSA Staphylococcus
 aureus. Afr J Microbiol Res. 2012;6(1):16-9.

 Hendry ER, Worthington T, Conway BR, Lambert PA.
 Antimicrobial efficacy of eucalyptus oil and 1,8-cineole alone
- Antimicrobial efficacy of eucalyptus oil and 1,8-cineole alone and in combination with clhorhexidine digluconate against microorganisms grown in planktonic and biofilm cultures. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009;64(6):1219-25. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkp362,PMID 19837714.

 LaPlante KL. In vitro activity of lysostaphin, Mupirocin, and teatree oil against clinical methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2007;57(4):413-8. doi: 10.1016/j.diagnicrobio.2006.09.007,PMID 17141452. Gunardi S. Kartika D. Profil kromatogram dan aktivitas.

- Gunardi S. Kartika D. Prolil kromatogram dan aktivitas antibakteri ekstrak etanol daun kemuning (Murraya paniculata L. Jack) terhadap bakteri escherichia coli secara. In Vitro. 2007. Iqlima D. Ardianingsih P, Wibowo MA. Aktivitas antibakteri isolat bakteri endofit B₂₀ dari batang tanaman yakon (Smallanthus sonchifolius poepp. H Robb) terhadap bakteri Staphylococcus aureus dan Salmonella thypimurium. JKK. 2017;7(1):36-43.

- Sabir A. Antibakteri A. Flavonoid propolis trigona sp terhadap Streptococcus mutans (in vitro). maj ked gigi. Dent J.
- bakteri Streptococcus mutans (in vitro), maj ked gigi. Dent J. 2005;38(3):1354-1.
 Amina R, Aliero B, Gumi A. Phytochemical screening and oil yield of a potential Herb, camel grass (Cymbopogon schoenanthus Spreng.). Cent Eur J Exp Sci. 2013;2(3):15-9.
 Sajjad W, Sohail M, Ali B, Haq A, Din G, Hayat M. Antibacterial activity of Punica granatum peel extract. Mycopathol. 2015;13(2):105-11.
- CLSI M07-A9-methods for dilution antimicrobial suscentibility CLSI. MO7-A9-methods for dirution antiffictional susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically. 9th ed. Vol. 2. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2012. p. 32. Cote IM, Darling ES, Brown CJ. Interactions among ecosystem
- Cote IM, Darling ES, Brown CJ. Interactions among ecosystem stressors and their importance in conservation. Proc R Soc Lond B. 2016;283:(20152592):1-9.
 Gautam MK, Gangwar M, Nath G, Rao CV, Goel RK. In vitro antibacterial activity on human pathogens and total phenolic, flavonoid contents of Murraya paniculata Linn. Leaves. Asian Pac | Trop Biomed. 2012;2(3):S1660-3. doi: 10.1016/S2221-1691(12)60472-9.
 Lin F, Hasegawa M, Kodama O. Purification and identification of multipricripal Sessuitemene Lactones from Vacon (Smallanthus
- antimicrobial Sesquiterpene Lactones from Yacon (Smallanthus sonchifolius) leaves. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. sonchifolius) leaves. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 2003;67(10):2154-9. doi: 10.1271/bbb.67.2154, PMID
- 2003;67(10):2154-9. doi: 10.1271/bbb.67.2154, PMID 14586103.
 Ohyama T, Ito O, Yasuyoshi S, Ikarashi T, Minamisawa K, Kubota M. Composition of storage carbohydrate in tubers of yacon (*Polymia sonchipidia*). Soil Sci Plant Nutr. 1990;36(1):167-71. doi: 10.1080/00380768.1990.10415724.
- 1990;36(1):167-71. doi: 10.1080/00380768.1990.10415724. Hong SS, Lee SA, Han XH, Lee MH, Hwang JS, Park JS. Melampolides from the leaves of Smallanthus sonchifolius and their inhibitory activity of LPS-induced nitric oxide production. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo). 2008;56(2):199-202. doi: 10.1248/cpb.56.199, PMID 18239309. Choi JG, Kang OH, Lee YS, Oh YC, Chae HS, Obiang Obounou B. Antimicrobial activity of the constituents of Smallanthus sonchifolius leaves against methicillin-resistant staphylococcus surgues Eur Rep Med Pharmacol Sci. 2010;14(12):1065-8. PMID
- aureus. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2010;14(12):1005-9, PMID
- 21373130.
 Rachana S, Tarun A, Rinki R, Neha A, Meghna R. Comparative Analysis of antibacterial activity of *Jatropha curcas* fruit parts. J Pharm Biomed Sci. 2012;15(15):1-4.
 Yadav R, Agarwala M. Phytochemical analysis of some medicinal plants. J Phytol. 2011;3(12):10-4.
 Abdelgadir HA, Van Staden J. Ethnobotany, ethnopharmacology

- Abdelgadir HA, Van Staden J. Ethnobotany, ethnopharmacology and toxicity of *Jutropha curcus* L. (Euphorbiaceae): a review. S Afr J Bot. 2013;88:204-18. doi: 10.1016/j.sajb.2013.07.021.
 Chandrasekaram M, Kannathasan K, Venkatesalu V. Antimicrobial activity of fatty acid methyl esters of some members of chenopodiaceae Z. Naturforsch C. J Bilosci. 2008;63(5-6):331-6. doi: 10.1515/znc-2008-5-604, PMID 1866-0116.
- Panche AN, Diwan AD, Chandra SR. Flavonoids: an overview. J Sci. 2016;5:e47. doi: 10.1017/jns.2016.41, PMID 28620474
- Wang TY, Li Q, Bi KS. Bioactive flavonoids in medicinal plants: structure, activity and biological fate. Asian J Pharm Sci. 2018;13(1):12-23. doi: 10.1016/j.ajps.2017.08.004, PMID 32104374.

 Kumar S, Pandey AK. Chemistry and biological activities of
- flavonoids: an overview. Scientific World Journal. 2013;2013:162750. doi: 10.1155/2013/162750, PMID
- 24470791. Juca MM, Cysne Filho FMS, de Almeida JC, Mesquita DDS, Barriga JRM, Dias KCF. Flavonoids: biological activities and therapeutic potential. Nat Prof Res. 2020;24(5):692-705. doi: 10.1080/14786419.2018.1493588, PMID 30445839.

- Xie Y, Yang W, Tang F, Chen X, Ren L. Antibacterial activities of flavonoids: structure-activity relationship and mechanism. Curr Chem. 2015:22(1):132-49.
- Med Chem. 2015;22(1):132-49, doi: 10.2174/092986/321666140916113443, PMID 25245513. Cushnie TPT, Lamb AJ, Antimicrobial activity of flavonoids. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2005;26(5):343-36. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2005.9002, PMID 16323269. Wu T, Zang X, He M, Pan S, Xu X. Structure-activity relation ship of flavonoids on their anti-Escherichia coli activity and inhibition of DNA gyrase, J Agric PMID. inhibition of DNA gyrase, J Agric Food Chem. 2013;61(34):8185-90. doi: 10.1021/jf402222v, PMID 23926942. Górniak I, Bartoszewski R, Kroliczewski J. Comprehensive
- review of antimicrobial activities of flavonoids. Phytochem Rev. 2019;18(1):241-72. 10.1007/s11101-018-9591-z.
- 10.1007/s11101-018-9591-z.
 Donadio G, Mensitieri F, Santoro V, Parisi V, Bellone ML, De
 Tommasi N. Interactions with microbial proteins driving the
 antibacterial activity of
 flavonoids. Pharmaceutics. 2021;13(5):1-23. doi:
 10.3390/pharmaceutics. 3050660, PMID 34062983.
 Khan MI, Ahhmed A, Shin JH, Baek JS, Kim MY, Kim JD. Green
- tea seed isolated saponins exerts antibacterial effects against tea seed isolated saponins exerts antibacterial effects against various strains of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, a comprehensive study in vitro and in vivo. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2018;2018;3486106. doi: 10.1155/2018/3486106. PMID 30598684. Winter WP. American society of hematology. 36th annual meeting. Dec 2-6, 1994, Nashville, Tennessee. Abstracts Blood. 1994;84(10) Suppl 1:1-743, PMID 7949116.

- 1994;84(10) Suppl 1:1-743, PMID 7949116.

 Romo MR, Perez Martunez D, Ferrer CC. Innate immunity in vertebrates: an overview. Eur J Immunol. 2016;148:125-39.

 Arabski MS, Wasik S, Dworecki K, Kaca W. Laser interferometric and cultivation methods for measurement of colistin/ampicilin and saponin interactions with smooth and rough of Proteus mirabilis lippoplysaccharides and cells. J Microbiol Methods. 2009;77(2):178-83. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2009.01.020, PMID 19318050.
- I.O. 10.1016/j.mimet.2009.01.020, PMID 19318050.
 Li N, Tan SN, Cui J, Guo N, Wang W, Zu YG. PA-1, a novel synthesized pyrrolizidine alkaloid, inhibits the growth of Escherichia coli and Saphylococcus aureus by damaging the cell membrane. J Antibiot (Tokyo). 2014;67(10):689-96. doi: 10.1038/ja.2014.49, PMID 24984184.
 Larghi EL, Bracca AB, Arroyo Aguiliar AA, Heredia DA, Pergomet.
- JL, Simonetti SO. Neocryptolepine: a promising indolois oquinoline alkaloid with interesting biological activity. indoloisoquinoline alkaloid with interesting biological activity. Evaluation of the drug and its most relevant analogs. Curr To Med Chem. 2015;15(17):1683-707. do 10.2174/1568026615666150427113937, PMID 25915612. Berthoud HR. Synergy: a concept in search of definition. Endocrinology. 2013;154(11):3974-7. do 10.1210/en.2013-1420, PMID 24002031.
- Geary N. Understanding synergy. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2013;304(3):E237-53. doi:
- Metab. 2013;304(3):E237-53. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00308.2012, PMID 23211518. Greco WR, Faessel H, Levasseur L. The search for cytotoxic synergy between anticancer agents: a case of dorothy and the ruby slippers? I Natl Cancer Inst. 1996;88(11):699-700. doi: 10.1093/jnci/88.11.699, PMID 8637018.
- Foucquier J, Guedj M. Analysis of drug combinations: current
- Foucquier J, Guedj M. Analysis of drug combinations: current methodological landscape.Pharmacol Res Perspect. 2015;3(3):e00149. doi: 10.1002/prp2.149.PMID 26171228. Roell KR, Reif DM, Motsinger Reif AA. An introduction to terminology and methodology of chemical synergy perspectives from across disciplines. Front Pharmacol. 2017;8(158):158. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2017.00158, PMID 20177760

	AP_Antiba	ıkteri.			
8	% ARITY INDEX	% INTERNET SOURCES	% PUBLICATIONS	8% STUDENT PAI	PERS
PRIMAR	RY SOURCES				
1	Submitt Student Pape	ed to Universita	as Airlangga-1		2%
2	Submitt Student Pape	ed to Mansoura	university		1%
3	Submitt Student Pape	ed to Fiji Nation	al University		1%
4	Submitt Student Pape		of Wolverhamp	ton	1%
5		DC University	cademy of Scien	ces	1%
6		and Technology	krumah Universi /	ty of	<1%
7	Submitt Student Pape		State University		<1%
8	Submitt Student Pape		niversity of Tech	nology	<1%
9	Submitt Student Pape	ed to University	of Mauritius		<1%
10	Submitt Student Pape		Library Consorti	ium	<1%
11	Submitt Student Pape		n Nuevo Marymo	ount	<1%
12	Submitt Surakar Student Pape	ta	as Muhammadiya	ah	<1%

13	Student Paper			<1%
14	Submitted to Kyungpool	k National Un	iversity	<1%
15	Submitted to University Student Paper	of St Andrews	S	<1%
16	Submitted to Anna University	ersity		<1%
Exclud	le quotes Off	Exclude matches	Off	

Exclude bibliography On